# **Public Document Pack**

# **Executive Decision Records**

Please find set out below a number of Executive Decisions taken at the HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy Decision Day, Executive Member for Highways Operations Decision Day and Executive Member for Climate Change and Sustainability Decision Day held at the Castle, Winchester on Monday, 3rd October, 2022

- 1. HAMPSHIRE HIGHWAYS SERVICE CONTRACT CONTRACT EXTENSION
- 2. PROJECT APPRAISAL: HAMPSHIRE RECYCLING INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
- 3. TRANSPORT PROPOSALS SUPPORTING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN ANDOVER
- 4. TRANSPORT PROPOSALS SUPPORTING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN FARNBOROUGH
- 5. **BASINGSTOKE TRANSPORT UPDATE**
- 6. MANYDOWN NORTH TO TOWN CENTRE CYCLE ROUTE BASINGSTOKE
- 7. TRANSPORT FOR THE SOUTH EAST STRATEGIC INVESTMENT PLAN CONSULTATION
- 8. A326 SOUTH PROJECT UPDATE
- 9. APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES, STATUTORY JOINT COMMITTEES, PANELS AND PARTNERSHIP BOARDS
- 10. HAMPSHIRE HIGHWAYS SERVICE CONTRACT EXEMPT APPENDIX A
- 11. HAMPSHIRE HIGHWAYS SERVICES CONTRACT EXEMPT APPENDIX B
- 12. SCHOOL STREETS TRAFFIC ORDERS

### **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date:	3 October 2022
Title:	Hampshire Highways Service Contract - Contract Extension
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

**Contact name:** Peter Rooney

Tel: 0370 779 4628 Email: peter.rooney@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

- 1.1. That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy notes the contractual timescales for the Hampshire Highways Service Contract and the significant risks associated with procuring a new contract in the current financial and economic climate.
- 1.2. That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy notes the opportunities proposed by Milestone Infrastructure Limited to improve the highway service for the duration of the extended contract period, and also the comments and advice provided by an external specialist appointed to independently review the proposals, as part of the due diligence process, and provide an overview of current market conditions.
- 1.3. That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy approves the development of revised performance measures to ensure the opportunities proposed by Milestone become contractual obligations and are bound into the contract documents, and that authority to agree the timescale and scope of these is delegated to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment in consultation with the Head of Legal Services.
- 1.4. That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy approves the extension of the Hampshire Highways Service Contract for the maximum duration of five years, which will take the contract term to 30 April 2029, and delegates authority to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment to enter into continued contractual arrangements with Milestone in consultation with the Head of Legal Services.

#### 2. Reasons for the decision:

2.1. The Hampshire Highways Service Contract exists to facilitate the delivery of core highway maintenance activities across Hampshire (excluding the Strategic Road Network, which is managed by National Highways). Milestone Infrastructure Limited (Milestone) is the current service provider. The initial core

- term of the contract runs to 30 April 2024 and there is an option to extend this by an additional five years to 2029.
- 2.2. The construction industry and highways sectors, nationally, are experiencing extreme levels of financial volatility, resulting in high levels of inflation, financial/commercial uncertainty, and risk. If an extension is not awarded the County Council will need to procure a new term highways contract at a time when the sector is significantly unstable and the procurement market congested.
- 2.3. The current contract with Milestone is in a very stable and mature position with a strong collaborative relationship in place. This has provided a strong foundation for the County's highway service and the contract continues to improve and deliver its objectives despite the extreme instability in the wider sector. Milestone has proposed a suite of offers to develop, improve and decarbonize the highway service over the extended period of the contract and, as well as yielding financial benefits for the County Council, these will provide positive benefits for all residents in Hampshire.

# 3. Other options considered and rejected:

- 3.1. The contract is not extended and the procurement process for a new contract is started immediately. This would mean that the procurement of one of the County Council's largest contracts is undertaken in a period of high volatility and inflation, in a heavily congested market with an estimated 26 highway authorities procuring new contracts over the next four and a half years. This is a period that will heavily favour any prospective bidders and any perceived risks would almost certainly be covered by higher prices. This is a significant risk for the County Council and by extending the contract it is anticipated these risks will be mitigated by enabling the County Council to procure in a calmer, less congested, market.
- 3.2. The contract could be extended by a shorter duration than the maximum five years proposed. However, five years is considered the optimum in order to provide the most favourable commercial position to release all of the benefits to Hampshire. It will also allow the County Council to continue with its current contractual arrangements until such time that the market conditions become more favourable. The five-year extension will also provide suitable time for the County Council to review alternative contract delivery models and procurement options for its next highway maintenance contract.
- 3.3. The County Council's current provider Milestone Infrastructure Limited has provided details of a number of proposals to be introduced during the extended period of the contract, over the full five years. A shorter duration would not provide the required time or financial payback period needed to implement the proposals. Therefore, by not extending the contract by the full five years, the County Council would not maximise the full benefit of the opportunities available for the development and improvement of the highway service.

- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

I thank the Select Committee for considering and supporting the proposals.

Approved by:	Date:
	3 October 2022
Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy Councillor Edward Heron	



### **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date:	3 October 2022
Title:	Project Appraisal: Hampshire Recycling Infrastructure Development
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

**Contact name:** Sam Horne

Tel: 07823 401118 Email: sam.horne@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

- 1.1 That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy approves the Project Appraisal for a Materials Recovery Facility at Chickenhall Lane in Eastleigh and the associated necessary works to the Waste Transfer Station network, as outlined in the supporting report, subject to granting of the necessary planning permission.
- 1.2 That approval be given to procure, spend and enter into the necessary contractual arrangements, in consultation with the Head of Legal Services, to implement the proposed development of the Materials Recovery Facility at Chickenhall Lane in Eastleigh and associated works to the Waste Transfer Station network across Hampshire, as set out in the supporting report, at an estimated total cost of £30 million, with a £23.1million contribution from Hampshire County Council to be funded from prudential borrowing.
- 1.3 That authority to make the arrangements to implement the scheme, including minor variations to the design or contract, be delegated to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment.

#### 2. Reasons for the decision:

2.1 This decision will enable the County Council to develop a new materials recovery facility (MRF) that is capable of processing the increased range of materials that is required because of the introduction of the Environment Act 2021¹ (the Act) and specifically the measures set out within the Act relating to the consistency of kerbside recycling collections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Environment Act 2021 (legislation.gov.uk)

2.2 The existing recycling infrastructure in Hampshire is nearing the end of its operational life and is not capable of managing the range of material streams that the legislation requires local authorities to collect and therefore a decision to provide a new material recovery facility is necessary.

# Other options considered and rejected:

- 3.1 The option to not change the recyclable material specification collected at the kerbside was considered and rejected, as this would mean that the County Council as well as the other partner authorities within Project Integra would fail to comply with the requirements of the Environment Act 2021.
- 3.2 The option to refit the two existing materials recovery facilities has been considered and rejected. The Portsmouth MRF does not have sufficient space on the site to accommodate a facility capable of processing the wider range of materials. The Alton site would cost a significant amount to redevelop to be able to process the new material mix, and whilst closed for works would result in significant material diversion out of the county to maintain the kerbside recycling collections in Hampshire. The financial and carbon cost of transporting this material for an extended period of time was considered to be prohibitive and therefore redevelopment of the existing sites was rejected.
- 3.3 The option to develop a single materials recovery facility to process a fully comingled recyclables material mix was considered and rejected as it was determined that this would incur significant unacceptable financial costs and would also not meet the requirements of the Environment Act to ensure clear separation of material streams, particularly of the fibre element from the remaining materials.<sup>2</sup>
- 3.4 The option of a system where all items are separated into materials streams at the point of collection at the kerbside was considered and rejected. An indepth assessment of this option showed that it would achieve comparable results in terms of recycling performance but with a greater carbon impact and financial cost than the twin stream system that the proposed development will enable.

# 4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1 Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2 Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Recycling and Single Materials Recovery Facility Update-2020-07-02-EMETE Decision Day (hants.gov.uk)

6.	Reason(s)	) for the matter being	d dealt with if urd	gent: not applicable.

# 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

I thank the Transport and Environment Select Committee for their consideration and support of the proposals. In making my decision, I also note the change in the delivery timescales to now be extended into 2025.

Approved by:	Date:
	3 October 2022
Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy Councillor Edward Heron	



#### **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date:	3 October 2022
Title:	Transport Proposals Supporting Economic Development in Andover
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Hayley Thorn

Tel: 0370 7793 526 Email: Hayley.thorn@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

1.1. That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy approves a policy position to support the principle of reallocating road space in Andover Town Centre in accordance with the plans set out in the Andover Town Centre Masterplan, subject to the conditions of support outlined in the supporting report.

#### 2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1. Test Valley Borough Council has set out it's aspirations for the regeneration and economic development of Andover Town Centre in the Andover Town Centre Masterplan and Hampshire County Council as the local Highway Authority is in a position to facilitate these objectives or not. In order to facilitate the Masterplan significant changes are needed to the existing highway, including the reallocation of road space to achieve the placemaking and regeneration objectives.
- 2.2. Hampshire County Council's Local Transport Plan 3 creates a policy base which is somewhat supportive of the request for road space reallocation but is not necessarily explicit enough in the context of this proposed change and its implications. This report discusses the case for road space reallocation from a highways perspective and in respecting the regeneration objectives of the Masterplan proposes a policy position is adopted that is supportive in principle of the regeneration plans subject to certain highway specific conditions, which are contained in the main body of the supporting report.

# 3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. The option of not supporting the highway proposals in the masterplan was considered but rejected. The proposed highways changes are an important aspect of the proposals for Andover Town Centre as they release land for

other uses and to support economic development of the town centre. Without the proposed changes the sustainable development principles underpinning the Andover Town Centre Masterplan may not be deliverable.

- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
<del></del>	3 October 2022
Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy Councillor Edward Heron	

### **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date:	3 October 2022
Title:	Transport Proposals Supporting Economic Development in Farnborough
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Hayley Thorn

Tel: 03707 793526 Email: hayley.thorn@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

1.1 That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy agrees a policy which supports the principle of reallocating road space in order to enable development of the Farnborough Civic Quarter Masterplan, subject to the conditions of support outlined in the supporting report.

# 2. Reason for the decision:

- 2.1 Rushmoor Borough Council has developed a potentially transformational Farnborough Civic Quarter Masterplan. The plan would result in significant changes to the form and function of highways in Farnborough Town Centre involving a reallocation of road space currently provided for vehicle capacity for other uses. This is a significant change and as such it is deemed necessary to seek agreement to the principle that Hampshire County Council as Highway Authority is agreeable to the proposal of doing so.
- 2.2 Hampshire County Council's Local Transport Plan 3 creates a policy base which is somewhat supportive of the request for the highway changes proposed but is not necessarily explicit enough in the context of transformational change associated with the Masterplan proposals. This report discusses the proposals and seeks to introduce a policy principle that would support the Borough Council's regeneration ambitions.

# 3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1 Consideration has been given to engaging with Rushmoor Borough Council using the existing national and local policy frameworks relevant to transport to determine the County Council's highway response. This has been rejected in the context of the transformational changes proposed. It is considered that Member approval of a locally specific policy principle is required to confirm support of the Farnborough Civic Quarter Masterplan proposals that require road space reallocation.

- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1 Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2 Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
Appioved by.	Date.
	3 October 2022
Executive Lead Member for Transport and	
Environment Strategy	
Councillor Edward Heron	

## **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date:	3 October 2022
Title:	Basingstoke Transport Update
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Hayley Thorn

Tel: 03707 793526 Email: hayley.thorn@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

- 1.1 That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy approves the policy statements included in the supporting report, delegating authority to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment to make any minor amendments in consultation with the Executive Member and Head of Legal.
- 1.2 That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy confirms support in principle for the access strategy related to the emerging Town Centre Masterplan developed by Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council, subject to the traffic redistribution impacts on the ringway and its junctions being acceptable or mitigated, as outlined in the supporting report.
- 1.3 That the Executive Member for Transport and Environment Strategy delegates authority to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment to:
  - commence a public engagement exercise on the Mass Rapid Transport (MRT) strategy later in 2022/23;
  - undertake design and optioneering work for the Mass Rapid Transport (MRT) and, without prejudice, conduct engagement with landowners that may be impacted by the options under development; and
  - make representations to Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council for Hampshire County Council's desire to progress this scheme and safeguard land where possible.

## 2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. Basingstoke is one of the largest urban areas of north Hampshire and is a focus of multiple large development proposals. The Local Planning Authority has also recognised the potential for development in Basingstoke and Deane in its 'Vision for land north of M3 J7'. This report provides an update to the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy about the progress of potential developments and their implications on the implementation of the

Transport Strategy for Basingstoke. In turn the decisions sought through this report will inform Hampshire County Council's position as Highway Authority.

# 3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. Consideration has been given to not engaging in the long-term planning of Basingstoke's future and only commenting on development in the current local plan period. This would be significantly less demanding of resources, but in light of the scale of potential change this is not considered to be in the best interests of the residents of Hampshire. The scale requires a long-term plan to be developed to ensure that the opportunities to integrate transport and land use planning are captured. This includes, for example, securing development contributions or external funding to secure critical infrastructure such as the A30 and Southwood Corner improvements as set out in the supporting report.

### 4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.

## 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

I thank Councillor Stephen Reid and Councillor Kim Taylor for attending to speak at my Decision Day today, along with correspondence I have had from other County Councillors regarding the proposals.

I note the update to Policy 8 to allow more flexibility regarding the type of crossing, and to now read "...need for a development link road is fully triggered it will require a crossing of the rail line".

As the work progresses, I look forward to continuing to engage with local Members on any proposals from National Highways with regard to the motorway and trunk road network locally, and any new County Council proposals which emerge all of which will be consulted upon locally.

Approved by:	Date:
Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy	3 October 2022
Councillor Edward Heron	

#### **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date:	3 October 2022
Title:	Manydown North to Town Centre Cycle Route Basingstoke
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Phil Marshall

Tel: 03707 795832 Email: philip.marshall@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

- 1.1. That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy approves the preferred scheme as detailed in Appendix 1, noting the preferred option to cross the Ringway by bridge, and that options for crossing the Ringway either by a bridge or at grade will both be taken forward for further design work.
- 1.2. That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy delegates authority to the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment to progress all design and development work necessary to enable the completion of detailed design, make minor amendments, and accommodate responses made in the public engagement and take opportunities to secure sufficient funding to comprehensively deliver the scheme.

### 2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. The decision will enable the completion of the design and development work for the Manydown North to Town Centre Cycle Route, Basingstoke. This will facilitate improved accessibility for people cycling and walking between the proposed North Manydown community, Winklebury, the Leisure Park and Basingstoke town centre.

# 3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. Do nothing – Not progressing the design work on the scheme at this time has been rejected. There are live funding opportunities that mean failure to take design work forward now may mean the scheme is not deliverable by the deadline imposed by external funding conditions. The scheme is also a key project needed to support the North Manydown development and to ensure that there are sustainable travel options between the development, town centre, railway station and other key destinations, such as the Leisure Park.

- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	3 October 2022
Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy Councillor Edward Heron	

#### **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date:	3 October 2022
Title:	TfSE Strategic Investment Plan Consultation
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

**Contact name:** Dominic McGrath

Tel: 0370 779 3710 Email: dominic.mcgrath@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

1.1. That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy approves the response set out in the supporting report and delegates authority to the Director of Economy, Transport, and Environment to finalise and submit the detailed consultation response.

# 2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. Responding to the consultation from Transport for the South East (TfSE) enables Hampshire County Council to influence the direction and content of the Strategic Investment Plan and to show its support for proposals that will impact on transport investment in the County in the future. It also allows the County Council to signal its support for a joined-up approach to investment across the region, which will be important in securing Governmental support for the proposals.

# 3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. Not responding to consultation – this was considered and rejected because it would not give the County Council any opportunity to influence the content of the document and to show its support for the emerging proposals.

## 4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.

# 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

I thank the Select Committee for their scrutiny and support of the proposals and note the feedback from them, suggesting that the HCC response includes reference to the need for increased focus and references in the final document to:

- The potential greater role for Rail freight
- Freeport access; and
- Need to recognise the importance and needs of suburban and surrounding rural areas when focusing on and assessing core urban transport improvement and needs

Approved by:	Date:
	3 October 2022
Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy Councillor Edward Heron	

### **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date:	3 October 2022
Title:	A326 South Project Update
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Patrick Bingham

Tel: Email: patrickbingham@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

- 1.1 That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy approves an increase to the Project Appraisal value of the A326 South Project Scheme from £10.45million to £11.4million, as set out in the supporting report, subject to the additional cost being funded from the proposed capital inflation underwrite, which is being recommended for County Council approval in September.
- 1.2 That the Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy gives approval to procure and spend to the revised value, subject to the additional cost being funded from the proposed capital inflation underwrite, which is being recommended for County Council approval in September.

#### 2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1 The project has experienced significant delivery and habitat constraints, which have extended the delivery programme and added additional cost pressures absorbed by existing contingency. Consistent with the position across the highway construction industry, the scheme is also experiencing exceptional inflationary pressures and the scheme's budgeted contingency is no longer sufficient to cover its forecast outturn cost. As a consequence, supplementary funding is now required to support the completion of the project.
- 2.2 The terms of the funding agreement with the LEP mean that descoping the Scheme is not an option. In order to progress with delivery, additional funds are needed. As a result, it is proposed to vary the County Council's previous position and contribute to the funding package using capital inflation underwrite, subject to the establishment of such a fund by the County Council and approval of the required allocation.

- 3. Other options considered and rejected:
- 3.1. Do nothing. This option was rejected as it will not address the scheme's forecast budgetary shortfall.
- 3.2. Reduce the project scope. An application to the Solent Local Economic Partnership (SLEP) to defer aspects of the scheme delivery to help reduce costs was declined, and completion of the full scheme is still required to meet the SLEP's grant funding terms.
- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	3 October 2022
Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy Councillor Edward Heron	

# **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy
Date of Decision:	3 October 2022
Decision Title:	Appointments to Outside Bodies, Statutory Joint Committees, Panels and Partnership Boards
Report From:	Chief Executive

Contact name: Katy Sherwood

Tel: 0370 779 5538 Email: <a href="mailto:katy.sherwood@hants.gov.uk">katy.sherwood@hants.gov.uk</a>

### 1. The Decision:

a) That the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment be requested to make an appointment to a vacancy that has arisen on an Outside Body as detailed below. The term of office until County Council elections in May 2025 unless otherwise stated:

Name of Body	<u>Description</u>	Previous	Appointment(s) until May 2025
Welborne Community Forum (1 plus 1 deputy)	To foster open and effective communication and build understanding about the development of Welborne Garden Village between its residents, neighbouring communities, local authorities, businesses, the voluntary sector and other interested parties.	N/A	Cllr Peter Latham

b) In accordance with Part 1: Chapter 12 of the Constitution, that the Executive Lead Member for Economy, Transport and Environment be requested to make an appointment to Partnership Boards as detailed below. The term of office until County Council elections in May 2025 unless otherwise stated:

Name of Body	Description	Previous	Appointment(s) until May 2025
Central Hampshire Road Safety	To promote and encourage road safety education, training and publicity,	Cllr Nick Adams-King (vacancy)	Cllr Stephen Broomfield
Council 3 (1 per district)	encourage and co-ordinate local groups, initiate and promote local road safety	Cllr David Drew (appointed July 2021)	Cllr David Drew

	campaigns.	Cllr Rob Mocatta (vacancy)	Cllr Barry Dunning
		Cllr Sarah Pankhurst (vacancy	Cllr Hugh Lumby
Northern	To promote and encourage road safety education, training and publicity,	Cllr Roz Chadd (vacancy)	Cllr Arun Mummalaneni
Hampshire Road Safety Council	encourage and co-ordinate local groups, initiate and promote local road safety	Cllr Adam Jackman (appointed July 2021)	Cllr Adam Jackman
3 (1 per district)	campaigns.	Cllr Steve Forster (vacancy)	Cllr Stephen Parker
Public	The group promotes public transport issues on behalf of local authorities outside of metropolitan areas,	Cllr Russell Oppenheimer (vacancy)	Cllr Nick Adams-King
Transport Consortium (2 plus an Opposition	supporting effective local decisions on public transport for the benefit of local citizens.	Cllr Rod Cooper (Appointed January 2022)	Cllr Rod Cooper
Member)		Cllr Louise Parker- Jones (Appointed January 2022)	Cllr Louise Parker-Jones
Southampto	A forum for Local Authority, trade organisations, HM Customs, Department of	Cllr Rob Humby (Appointed July 2021)	Cllr Rob Humby
n Port Consultative Committee (1 plus 1 deputy)	Trade and Commerce to discuss Port issues.	Cllr Russell Oppenheimer (Deputy - vacancy)	Cllr Roz Chadd (deputy
	To promote and encourage road safety education, training and publicity,	Cllr Marge Harvey (appointed July 2021)	Cllr Marge Harvey
Southern Hampshire	encourage and co-ordinate local groups, initiate and promote local road safety	Cllr Pamela Bryant (appointed July 2021)	Cllr Pamela
Road Safety Council 3 (1 per	campaigns.	Cllr Jackie Branson (appointed July 2021)	Bryant
district)		Cllr Lulu Bowerman (vacancy)	Cllr Jackie Branson
			Cllr Graham Burgess

Southern Water Stakeholder Panel for Hampshire (1)	The panels help Southern Water to deliver sustainable water and sewerage services to meet the needs of current and future customers and include representatives from local authorities, environmental groups, regulators and	Cllr Rob Humby (vacancy)	Cllr Jan Warwick
	business groups		

#### 2. Reason for the decision:

- 2.1. To maintain County Council representation on bodies within the community. In regard to 1a) above, when appointing to Outside Bodies nominations are sought from the Political Group Leaders.
- 3. Other options considered and rejected:
- 3.1. Not to make appointments, which would cease County Council representation.
- 4. Conflicts of interest:
- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	
Executive Lead Member for Transport and Environment Strategy	Date: 3 October 2022



#### **Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Member for Highways Operations	
Date:	3 October 2022	
Title:	School Streets – Traffic Orders	
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment	

**Contact name:** Dominic McGrath

Tel: 0370 779 3710 Email: dominic.mcgrath@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

1.1. That the Executive Member for Highways Operations delegates authority to the Director of Economy, Transport, and Environment (in consultation with the Head of Legal Services) to progress and make permanent traffic regulation orders to sustain the School Streets Schemes at Harrison Primary School, Fareham, and Cadland Infant School, Holbury, subject to agreement with the schools that they will continue to operate the schemes with their own resources.

#### 2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. To allow for the continuation of two existing School Street sites, pending a fuller report to Cabinet to determine future policy.

# 3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1. The option of delaying or not introducing permanent Traffic Orders pending the outcome of the review was considered and rejected because it would force the closure of existing schemes. This is consistent with the County Council's normal approach to pilot schemes and does not predetermine the outcome of the overall School Streets policy review.

# 4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
- 5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.

Approved by:	Date:
	3 October 2022
Executive Member for Highways Operations Councillor Nick Adams-King	

7. Statement from the Decision Maker: